Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3

CIA RETIREMENT BOARD MEETING

1:30 p. m., 16 April 1969

25X1 25X1	PRESENT: - Chairman - DDP Member - Alternate DDI Member - DDS&T Member - Alternate DDS Member - Alternate DDS Member - Legal Advisor - Recording Secretary - Executive Secretary - Executive Secretary 1. Mr. Robert L. Bannerman, Deputy Director for Support (for Item 1 only) 1. Mr. Robert L. Bannerman, Deputy Director for Support appeared before the Board to present orally his concept concerning domestic qual-
25X1	(An attachment to these minutes will be prepared giving a more detailed account of Mr. Bannerman's presentation and of the subsequent discussion.)
25X1	2. The Board next discussed the case ofwhich was previously discussed at the last meeting of the Board. At that time the Board concluded that had performed sufficient domestic qualify-
25X1	ing service which when combined with his overseas service at the end of the current tour will total 60 months was to be advised that upon completion of his current tour his career service may nominate him for participation with the assurance that he will be credited with the required 60 months of qualifying service. However, prior to this information being for-
25X1	warded to him he has stated that he may wish to seek retirement prior to the completion of his current tour, perhaps as early as the end of calendar year 1969. If completes his current tour, June 1970, he would have to be credited with 3 months and 23 days of domestic qualifying service. If he should depart from his overseas post prior to completion of his tour, or in December 1969, he would have to be credited with approximately 10 months of
25X1	

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3

S E C R E T

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/27: CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3

concluded that at such time as he is ready to retire, the Board would credit him with sufficient domestic qualifying service which when combined with his overseas service will total 60 months.

3. The Board reviewed 3 cases of employees who had been nominated for

	designation as participants in the System, and l request from a participant for voluntary retirement. The Board took action as follows:	
	a. Recommended designation as participants of the following named employees who have completed more than 15 years of Agency service:	
25X1		
	b. Recommended designation as a participant of the following named employee who has completed at least 5 years of Agency service:	
25X1		
	c. Recommended approval of the request for voluntary retirement, on the date shown below, received from the following named partic-	
25X1	ipant:	
25X1		25X
	4. The Board next considered the nomination of for participation in the CIA Retirement and Disability System based partially on domestic qualifying service. has signed an application for	
25X1	voluntary retirement to be effective 30 April 1969 if he is approved for participation in the System. has performed 57 months and 2 days	
25X1	of overseas qualifying service. During the period from July 1952 until February 1953 was in training to be supervisor and coordinator	
25X1		
25X1	ment in March 1953. The Board concluded that based on the entire record of his career, has performed 60 months of qualifying service under the statute and regulation. The Board thereby recommended that be approved for participation in the System and that his request for voluntary retirement be approved. This case was approved under the provisions of the 31 July 1968 memorandum from the Executive Director.	25X1
25X1	5. The next case considered by the Board was the nomination of for participation in the CIA Retirement and Disability	057
	System based partially on domestic qualifying service. has stated that he would retire under the voluntary provisions of the System if	25X
	he is approved for participation. has performed 24 months and 7 days of overseas qualifying service. Since April 1950 has been assigned in the Office of Finance at Headquarters and has since January 1965 been Deputy Chief, Industrial Contract Audit Division. The Director	25X 25X
	of Finance states that his work in ICAD involves the evaluation of contractors' accounting systems and audit of contractors' records to establish propriety of costs charged to the Agency under contracts between the Agency	

and industrial firms throughout the U.S. The vast majority of these

$\label{eq:second} S \ \ E \ \ C \ \ R \ E \ \ T \\ \mbox{Approved For Release 2005/04/27: CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3}$

states that	professional opportunities outside the Agency are
restricted by the	e cover and security considerations involved in his work for
the Agency. It w	was also stated that should this request be approved, it wil
substantially con	ntribute to the resolution of a personnel management problem
which presently e	exists in the reassignment of serior Finance personnel occa-
sioned by the rec	cent reorganization of the Office of Finance. The Board
concluded that	had not performed 60 months of qualifying service
In arriving at th	nis conclusion the Board noted that qualification under para
graph b.(11)(c) w	would not apply in this case since as an auditor and with
his background he	e should be able to describe his duties in sufficient detail employer to demonstrate his qualifications. The Board
	omp 10 you are the first of the
thereby recommend	ied thathot be approved for participation in
the System.	
6. The next	t case was the nomination of for
participation in	the CIA Retirement and Disability System. The Director of
Security stated t	that if approved would apply for retirement on
or before 30 June	is currently 61 years of age and has
been granted an e	extension of his Civil Service Retirement, under the 3-lette
policy, until 31	August 1969 when he will be 62 years of age.
	d any overseas qualifying service. From 1948 until the pre- assigned to During this period
sent he has been	
ne nas utilized i	for Agency purposes
agent, and the Di	irector of Security states that a large portion of this
time he was engag	ged in hazardous and/or highly specialized duties in sup-
port of overseas	activities. submitted an appeal on 11 April
1966 to be design	nated, however, this was held in the Office of Security
until March 1969.	. The Deputy Director for Support stated that although
submi	itted his appeal in 1966 he believes that his case was not
formally acted up	pon by the Retirement Board at that time but was held in
Security pending	a Board decision on a similar case which presumably would a precedent for the consideration of the case.
have established	tates that on the premise that the appeal was either denied
or not fully act	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namel
or not fully acte	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namel
or not fully acteunder age 60, the	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namel e Board should accord the case the normal consideration.
or not fully acte under age 60, the In discussing the	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namel e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed
or not fully acteunder age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection with	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namele e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if
or not fully acteunder age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection with	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namele Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been
or not fully acteunder age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection withis case had becapproved	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory
or not fully acte under age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection wit this case had bee approved provisions of the	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory e System in August 1967, at age 60. Since he has been
or not fully acte under age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection withis case had bee approved provisions of the extended until Au	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory e System in August 1967, at age 60. Since he has been ugust 1969 he will at that time have had two additional
or not fully acteunder age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection withis case had been approved provisions of the extended until Auyears' salary, and	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory e System in August 1967, at age 60. Since he has been ugust 1969 he will at that time have had two additional n additional 4 per cent factor for annuity computation, and
or not fully actedunder age 60, the In discussing the by we in connection with this case had been approved provisions of the extended until Augears' salary, and a higher high-fire	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory e System in August 1967, at age 60. Since he has been ugust 1969 he will at that time have had two additional n additional 4 per cent factor for annuity computation, and ve average. In view of these facts and the fact that as
or not fully acteunder age 60, the In discussing the In discussing the In discussing the In connection withis case had been approved provisions of the Extended until Augears' salary, and higher high-fivecent as July 19	ed upon when he was within the zone of consideration, namely e Board should accord the case the normal consideration. is case the Board noted that the type of service performed ould normally be accepted as qualifying service, especially th concurrent retirement. However, the Board noted that if en considered in 1966, as it should have been, and had been would have been required to retire under the mandatory e System in August 1967, at age 60. Since he has been ugust 1969 he will at that time have had two additional n additional 4 per cent factor for annuity computation, and

approved for participation in the System.

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3

25X1 25X1	7. The Board next considered the Fifteenth Anniversary Review of who will complete 15 years of Agency service on 30 August 1969. has performed 57 months and 4 days of verified	
25X1	overseas service. The Deputy Director for Plans states that from February 1959 until August 1961 was assigned as assistant to the principal	
25X1 25X1	was further stated that his work during this period involved the prectice of clandestine tradecraft and operational techniques. The Board concluded that based on the entire record of his career, had performed 60	
25X1	months of qualifying service under the statute and regulation. The Board thereby recommended thatbe adjudged for continued participation in the System.	
25X1	8. The next case considered by the Board was the Fifteenth Anniversary Review of who will complete 15 years of Agency service on 1 June 1969.	25X1
25X1	overseas service. The DDP/OP, for the DDP, states that has informed them that she desires to remain in Headquarters for at least one year more, and there are no plans to send her overseas in the near future. Also a review of her past service reveals no domestic service which warrents consideration as domestic qualifying service. The Board recommended that	20/(1
25X1	be removed from the System prior to her 15th Anniversary date. will be sent a memorandum affording her the opportunity to submit any additional information in her case if she so desires.	
25X1	9. The Board next considered a request from	25X1
25X1	accumulated leave paid in 1970. The Deputy Director of Personnel for Recruitment and Placement states that has been serving as the Professional Recruiter for the region of the District of Columbia, West Virginia, Maryland, northern Virginia, and western Pennsylvania and the number of cases and entries on duty resulting from his efforts have been very acceptable. Further he states that although it is not felt that the retention of on the basis of essentiality can be justified, the college recruitment effort is concentrated in the months of October, November, and December and an extension of the property of the contract of the months of October, November, and December and an extension of the painty of the contract of the contract of the months of October, November, and December and an extension of the painty of the contract of the contr	25X1
25X1	sion until 3 January 1970 will allow him to finish the annual recruitment effort. He therefore recommends, with the concurrence of the Director of Personnel, and the Deputy Director for Support, that the requested extension be approved. The Board recommended that	
25X1	10. The next case considered by the Board was a request from for the further deferment of her retirement, under the	
25X1	Civil Service Retirement System, from 31 December 1969 until 31 December 1970. states that her original request, submitted in April 1968, was for an extension of 2 years, because of circumstances which would require that time to enable her to meet the minimum financial needs of her retirement.	

Approved For Release 2005/04/27: CIA-RDP78-03092A000600090001-3

She states that those circumstances remain the same, in that she is still assisting her husband to repay for 18 years of service for which he took a refund and due to his health his tenure of employment is uncertain. The Director, Basic and Geographic Intelligence states that her performance is invaluable to management as she has had a key role in the development of the Division data processing record system, now firmly established, but still requiring her direction and assistance in its maintenance. He therefore states, with the concurrence of the Deputy Director for Intelligence, that it would be to the advantage of the Office to have her employment continued for an additional year until 31 December 1970. The Board recommended that retirement be further deferred until 31 December 1970 basically on a need for services.

25X1

	Bel vices.
25X1	11. The final case considered by the Board was a request from
25X1	
20/(1	
	cannot justify an extension for from the standpoint of Office need,
25X1	OSI will be pleased to keep him employed should an extension be approved, and advancement of other personnel in the Office would not be affected. He
	therefore urges, with the concurrence of the Deputy Director for Science and
25X1	Technology, that request be favorably considered by the Board. informed the Board that only recently the Director asked that a
25X1	review be made of the courier system to see what kind of people we are get-
20/(1	ting has been cited for his work and received a Quality Step Increase for loyal and faithful service. The Board recommended that, in
25X1	view of all the facts in the case,retirement be deferred until
	30 June 1972.
	12. The meeting adjourned at 3:40 p. m.
25X1	
	Executive Secretary